

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SERVICE EMPLOYEES
INTERNATIONAL UNION, et al.,

No. C 09-00404 WHA

Plaintiffs,

v.

**ORDER RE POTENTIAL
RULE 50 MOTIONS**


SAL ROSELLI, et al.,

Defendants.

By the end of the plaintiffs' case in chief and no later, plaintiffs must file a schedule summarizing the evidence as to *each* defendant from whom damages are sought. This must be broken down defendant-by-defendant, so that a Rule 50 ruling may be promptly made. The itemization should specify the testimony and/or exhibits with a pithy but accurate summary of the item, taking care to state whether the defendant was mentioned specifically.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 29, 2010.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE